

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39315 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 01.12.2016

Sachin Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.527 dated 19.08.2016, registered under Sections 148, 323, 325, 307 and 506 read with Section 149 of IPC, at Police Station City Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar.

It is contended that neither the petitioner has been named in the FIR nor any overt act has been attributed to him. The petitioner is a young boy of 18 years and he is in custody since 19.09.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused

the record.

Considering the fact the petitioner is in custody since 19.09.2016; the investigation stands concluded; challan has been presented, however, the charges are yet to be framed, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

01.12.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No