

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39304 of 2016

Date of Decision: November 15, 2016

Sunil

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Jai Bhagwan Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

The allegations against the petitioner in this regular bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C. are that on 26.05.2016, 1kg. of *chara*, a non-commercial quantity has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 26.5.2016 is not controverted by the learned State counsel.

In view thereof and keeping in view that trial is not likely to be concluded in near future and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars, without adverting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition for grant of regular bail is allowed. Petitioner be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

**(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE**

November 15, 2016

aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No