

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39301 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.11.2016

Satnam

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.541 dated 26.09.2016, registered under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station Hodal, District Palwal.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case. The main accused, Indu Bala has been enlarged on bail by the trial Court. He further states that the name of the property dealer who allegedly introduced the complainant to Rekha Gupta has also not come on record. The complainant has not suffered any loss. The petitioner is in custody since 26.09.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail

application. The challan has been prepared and will be presented shortly before the competent Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that co-accused, Indu Bala has been allowed the concession of bail; the challan is yet to be presented, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of CJM/Illaq Magistrate, concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

10.11.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No