

GURPREET KAUR
2016.05.11 13:28

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-38396 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.05.2016

Shamshudeen Ansari

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Bhatheja, Advocate
for Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, A.A.G., Haryana
for the respondent-State.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondent-State, on instructions from Head Constable Poonam, submits that the present petition has become infructuous as the petitioner was arrested and thereafter, the challan was presented against him. She further submits that charges have also been framed and the petitioner has been granted regular bail.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the respondent-State, the present petition is dismissed as infructuous.

09.05.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE