

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-38187 of 2015
Date of decision:- May 19, 2016

Darshan Singh and others

...Petitioners...

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. M.S. Bal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arjinder Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

JASPAL SINGH, J.

Instant petition has been preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, seeking quashing of FIR No.8, dated 08.02.2010, under Sections 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC and offence under Section 325 IPC (added later on), Police Station Kotli Surat Malli, District Batala, Punjab (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 28.05.2015 (Annexure P-2).

2. Report of learned Judicial Magistrate has been received, in which, it has been categorically observed that compromise between parties has been arrived at voluntary and without undue

influence, pressure and or coercion and parties have suffered the statements without any coercion or pressure and with their free will. Even otherwise, the matter involved is personal in nature, which has been amicably put at rest.

3. So, in view of above circumstances, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

4. Consequently, the instant petition stands allowed and FIR No.8, dated 08.02.2010, under Sections 323, 324, 325, 148, 149 IPC, Police Station Kotli Surat Malli, District Batala, Punjab and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners only.

May 19, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE