

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39079-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 08.11.2016

Balwinder Kaur @ Harwinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Ruchi Sekhri, Advocate/*amicus curiae*,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for respondent No.6.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

File has been taken up again.

By way of filing the instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought direction to respondent No.1 for handing over the investigation of complaint dated 14.11.2015 (Annexure P-4), to State Crime Branch, Distt. Roopnagar, or some other independent agency.

The petitioner is present in person and states that she never authorized Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate, to file the instant petition.

In the forenoon session, Ms. Ruchi Sekhri, Advocate, was appointed as *amicus curiae* to assist the Court. After detailed discussion with the petitioner, learned *amicus curiae* states that the present petition was

not filed on the instructions of the petitioner, rather, the same has been filed on the instructions of one Ms. Seema Bhardwaj, also known as Ms. Seema Moudgil, a practising lawyer of District Court, Roopnagar. Mr. Kaushik also acknowledges the fact that he never met the petitioner and the petition was filed after the relevant documents were supplied in his office on the assurance that said Ms. Seema Bhardwaj has been authorized by the petitioner to file this petition on her behalf.

In view of the above clarification, learned *amicus curiae*, on instructions from the petitioner, seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

08.11.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No