

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39044 of 2016

Date of Decision: November 15, 2016

Angrej Singh @ Baba

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Sukhjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurveer Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

The present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C is by way of supplementing the earlier bail application CRM-M-18728 of 2016 which was allowed by this Court vide orders dated 06.10.2016 on the grounds that with the subsequent investigation offences under Sections 463 and 473 Cr.P.C have been added which is not refuted by the learned State counsel.

Since the petitioner has already been allowed regular bail and it is duly acceded to by the learned State counsel and that no fresh evidence has come on record on the investigation and the only semblance of evidence is statement of co-accused of the petitioner whose admissibility and legality is subject to judicial interpretation and that the trial is not likely to be concluded in near future and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars, without adverting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition for grant of regular bail for freshly

added offences as well is allowed. Petitioner be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

November 15, 2016

aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No