

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38085 of 2015
DECIDED ON: FEBRUARY 03, 2016

KUSUM & ANR

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab

None for private respondents.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is a petition preferred under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners by providing them adequate security/police protection.

2. As per the contents of petition, petitioners have solemnized marriage against wishes and consent of respondents No.4 to 6. After the issuance of notice of motion to respondents, police recorded the statements of respondents No.4 to 6 in which they have categorically stated that their daughter Kusum aged about 21 years has solemnized her marriage with petitioner No.2-Jaspal Singh at her own accord and they have no objection to their marriage.

3. In view of statements made by respondents No.4 to 6 referred to above, there is no threat or apprehension at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

Moreover, neither petitioners nor their counsel has opted to appear to pursue with the instant petition.

4. In view of the facts and circumstances discussed above, this Court is of the considered view that instant petition has rendered infructuous and the same is dismissed, as such.

FEBRUARY 03, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE