

101 CRM-M-38940-2016(O&M)

NISCHAL AND ANR VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

Present: Petitioners in person with
 Mr. Sutikshan Sharma, Advocate
 for the appellant (s).

Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate has put in appearance and filed power of attorney on behalf of respondents No.6 and 7.

Mother, brother, aunt of petitioner No.1, Sarpanch and some other respectables of the village are also present and they want to have a talk with the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection, if they are allowed to talk with petitioners No.1 and 2.

The request of respondents No.6 and 7 is allowed. File be taken up again after an hour.

October 28, 2016.
Sachin M.

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

101 CRM-M-38940-2016(O&M)

NISCHAL AND ANR VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. Sutikshan Sharma, Advocate
for the appellant (s).

Respondents No.6 and 7 in person with
Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate.

File taken up again after meeting of respondents No.6 and 7 with petitioners. The list of persons present along with respondents No.6 and 7 supplied by counsel for respondents No.6 and 7 is as follows:-

- (i) Deepak Rana, Sarpanch of village Saran,
- (ii) Raj Dulari, aunt of petitioner No.1,
- (iii) Rakesh son of Devi Chand,
- (iv) Mohit son of Sat Pal,
- (v) Rajinder Sharma son of Kashmiri Lal.

After having talked with petitioners, the private respondents have no objection to their marriage and have given the assurance of their safety and security. The petitioners as well as respondents No.6 and 7 are residents of same village. With the intervention of Sarpanch Deepak Rana of village Saran and other respectables, the parties have settled the dispute amicably.

However, petitioner No.1 states that she wants to go with petitioner No.2 and live with him as his wife. In future, if private respondents co-operate with her, she will visit her house. However, she will keep on paying regards to her mother, brother and elders as she was paying before her marriage.

Keeping in view the facts that the matter has been amicably settled, this petition is disposed of. However, copy of the representation (Annexure P-5) along with copy of this order be conveyed to Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar. In the event of any security threat faced by the petitioners, they will apprise SHO of their area, who will take immediate action to ensure security to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order will have no effect on the validity of alleged marriage of the petitioners or on any other civil or criminal proceedings instituted against them.

**(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE**

October 28, 2016.