

209.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38910-2016

Date of decision:19.12.2016

MONU ALI @ VIJAY@ MANOJ RANA @ MONU RANA ... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA & ANR

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Rahul Deswal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.230 dated 04.05.2016 under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471, 506, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Gharaunda, District Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that a compromise has been effected between the parties and accordingly, the complainant-respondent No.2 has submitted an affidavit dated 18.10.2016 (Annexure P-2). As per said affidavit of the complainant-Amit Kumar Tyagi, nothing is outstanding against the petitioner and the complainant has no objection in case the petitioner is released on bail.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf

of respondent No.2 and filed memorandum of appearance which is taken on

record. He does not dispute the execution of the affidavit dated 18.10.2016 (Annexure P-2).

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Raj Kumar, also admits the execution of affidavit by respondent No.2-complainant, namely, Amit Kumar Tyagi. He states that the challan has been presented in the case.

Heard, learned counsel for the parties.

Taking into consideration the fact that the compromise has been effected between the parties and even otherwise, the trial in the case will take sufficient long time, petitioner is admitted on bail subject to his furnishing of bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Accordingly, present petition stands allowed.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

19.12.2016
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No