

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-3877 of 2016

DATE OF DECISION: 07.04.2016

Kudrat Sehgal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)
2. To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)

Present:- Mr. AS Kalra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The grievance of the petitioner is that inspite of making representation to Director General of Police, Haryana on 15.12.2015, no action has been taken thereupon, whereas, copies of the same were also sent to respondents No.2 to 4.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case and keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 to 4 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 15.12.2015 (Annexure P-6) and take necessary action in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

April 07, 2016
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE