

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(126)

CRM-M-38731-2016

Decided on: October 27, 2016.

Sukhwinder Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Brahminder Singh Toor, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C for a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana (Rural) and SHO, Police Station Sadar for protection of his life and liberty and for taking action on his complaint Annexure P-1.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on 01.10.2016, the petitioner was intercepted by respondents No.4,5 and 6 and was abused.

The allegations in Annexure P-1 addressed to Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), District Ludhiana, appear to be vague and uncertain. No opinion can be formed on the basis of said allegations that any cognizable offence has been committed by the private respondents.

Even otherwise, this petition is not maintainable, unless until, the petitioner moves a petition with detailed particulars before the Officer Incharge of the concerned police station as per provisions of Section 154 (1) Cr.P.C. In case, no action is taken despite a cognizable offence having been committed, the petitioner can approach the concerned Superintendent of Police as per provisions of Section 154 (3) Cr.P.C.

In view of above observation, this petition is disposed of being pre-mature with liberty to the petitioner to avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law by approaching appropriate authorities.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

October 27, 2016
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No