

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-37777 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 18, 2016.

Dharamjit Singh and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Kler, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

The petition is for quashing of complaint No.101 dated 20.9.2005, under Sections 323, 324, 307, 506, 34, 120-B IPC as well as the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 17.10.2014, convicting and sentencing the petitioners under Section 323/34 IPC, along with all consequential proceedings.

Report of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib received. Learned Additional Sessions Judge has recorded the statements of both the parties and has reported that the parties have effected compromise and that the compromise is genuine and without any undue influence and pressure. Photocopies of the statements of the parties have also been enclosed with the report.

Perusal of the file shows that the petitioners were convicted under Section 323 read with Section 34 IPC on the complaint filed by Bhupinder Singh complainant. Now, the appeal filed by the petitioners is pending before learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib.

Since the parties have amicably settled the dispute, therefore, the petition is allowed. Judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 17.10.2014, convicting and sentencing the petitioners under Section 323/34 IPC, stand quashed. As a natural corollary, the appeal pending before learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehgah Sahib shall be disposed of as infructuous.

March 18, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge