

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38601-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 22.11.2016

Gurcharan Singh @ Channa

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. PKS Phoolka, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Randhir Singh.

Mr. A.S. Sullar, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'the Cr.P.C.') seeking regular bail in FIR No.243 dated 08.07.2014, registered under Sections 323, 342, 285, 356, 307, 147 and 148 read with Section 149 of the Indian Penal Code, (for short, 'the IPC'); and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act; at Police Station City Dabwali, Distt. Sirsa.

Learned counsel, inter alia, contends that no gunshot injury was caused to anyone. All the injuries were found to be simple in nature, caused with blunt weapon. The parties have compromised the matter. The petitioner is in custody since 26.10.2014.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions,

ATUL SETHI
2016.11.22.10:08
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

informs that the petitioner is a habitual offender and involved in as many as seven more FIRs.

Learned counsel for the complainant admits the factum of compromise having been reached between the parties.

Heard.

Keeping in view the antecedents of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to go into the factum of compromise.

Dismissed.

22.11.2016

atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No