

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-386-2016

Date of Decision: January 08, 2016

Heena Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Petitioners in person with
Ms.Tanya Malik, Advocate.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482, Cr.P.C., is for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners from respondent Nos.4 and 5. The petitioners contend that they being major have solemnized their marriage in accordance with law. They further submit that respondent Nos.4 and 5 are not accepting their marriage and are adamant to separate them from each other by resorting to illegal means. They further submit that in view of the imminent danger

to their lives and liberty, they have already moved a representation (Annexure P-5) before respondent No.2.

2. Keeping in view the seriousness of the matter but without going into the validity of the marriage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, the Deputy Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, to take action as warranted by law on the representation moved by the petitioners and in the meantime to issue necessary orders to ensure that no harm is caused to the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 and 5.

January 08, 2016
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE