

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-38437 of 2016

Date of Decision: 15.11.2016

Prince Kumar

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. Raveesh Sheokand, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous, as the petitioner has already been admitted on bail by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra vide order 3.11.2016 in view of provisions of Section 167(2) read with Section 173(5) CrPC.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

November 15, 2016
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No