

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-37531 of 2015
DECIDED ON: FEBRUARY 10, 2016

Komal and another

.....Petitioners....

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents....

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Petitioners in person along with
Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate.

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6 as they have solemnized the marriage against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 6.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the private respondents No. 4 to 6 have accepted the marriage of the petitioners *inter se* and at present, there is no apprehension to their lives and liberty at their hands.

3. In pursuance of notice of motion, petitioners were approached by the police and statements of Smt. Komal and Jaswinder Kumar have been recorded, according to which there is no apprehension to their lives

and liberty and they do not require any sort of protection. Similarly, statement of Ram Sajivan-respondent No.4, father of petitioner No.1 has also been recorded, which is duly attested by the DSP Sub Division Nakodar, District Jalandhar(Rural) that neither he nor respondents No. 5 and 6 have any grudge against the petitioners on account of their marriage. Photocopies of the statements are taken on record.

4. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioners referred to above as well as the statements of petitioners and respondent No.4 recorded by DSP Sub Division Nakodar, District Jalandhar (Rural) instant petition has rendered infructuous. The same is disposed of as such.

5. However, this order shall not be construed as a measure to treat the marriage of the petitioners valid or legal.

FEBRUARY 10, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE