

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-38412 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 04.11.2016**

Hemraj @ Lal

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

**Present: Mr. Bijender Dhankhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.248 dated 18.07.2016, registered under Sections 363, 366-A, 384, 387, 506, 376-D, 120-B, 328 IPC and Section 6 of POCSO Act, Police Station Hathin, District Palwal.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations were against Karambir who had been arrested and challan has been filed and the petitioner was not related to the other accused and the girl was major and she was in touch with Karambir and he was in his village in Uttar Pradesh at the time of incident.

The State counsel submits that in the statement recorded under Section 161 Cr.P.C, and also before the Magistrate, the girl had stated that

she had been raped both by Karambir and Hemraj (petitioner) and Hemraj and Karambir were related and the allegations are that a video had been prepared and the girl was a minor.

Considering the allegations, which are indeed serious, no case for anticipatory bail is made out. The petition is dismissed.

04.11.2016
sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No