

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38356-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 13.12.2016

Manav Chandra

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Raghubir Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No.27 dated 23.01.2013, registered under Sections 406, 420 and 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Bhupani, Distt. Faridabad.

Heard.

On 26.10.2016, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel contends that after passing of order dated 22.08.2016, the petitioner by way of filing the Special Leave Petition approached Hon'ble the Supreme Court wherein Hon'ble the Supreme Court vide order dated 26.09.2016 has directed to deposit 50% of the amount of Rs.16,53,000/- along with fresh application and to deposit the balance amount within four weeks thereafter as is made out from Annexure P-7.

Learned counsel further states that in pursuance of the order dated 26.09.2016, the petitioner has already prepared a draft of Rs.8,26,500/- in the name of Registrar of this Court. A photocopy of draft is already on record as

Annexure P-8. The said draft be deposited with the Registry of this Court.

Notice of motion for 13.12.2016.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.*

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 26.10.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

13.12.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No