

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(125)

CRM-M-38350-2016

Decided on: October 25, 2016.

**Pardeep and others**

**.... Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and others**

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

**M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)**

Quashing of FIR has been prayed for on the basis of compromise.

Mr. Davender Gorsl, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 10 has intervened to support the contentions of learned counsel for the petitioners that the matter has been compromised.

This petition is disposed of with an observation that in case the complainant or the injured persons approach the investigating officer with their statement/supplementary statement voluntarily, the same would be recorded under Section 163 (2) Cr.P.C. The investigating officer shall record the statement as per the mandate of Section 163 (2) Cr.P.C and form an opinion whether in said situation, the prosecution agency would still be interested in presenting challan against the petitioners. It will be open to the petitioners, on the basis of compromise, to protect their liberty by availing the appropriate legal remedy in accordance with law.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)  
JUDGE**

**October 25, 2016**

*harsha*

Whether speaking/reasoned:  
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No  
Yes/No