

CRM-M-38310-2016

Date of Decision : 15.12.2016

Sabnam and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI****Present:** Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. G.S. Salwara, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jainainder Saini, Advocate  
for respondents No. 4 and 5.**M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)**

Petitioner No. 1 is present in the Court. She has stated that she is voluntarily staying with her parents. An affidavit of the Assistant Superintendent of Police, District Hissar to the contrary stands filed to the effect that the petitioners are staying together and that there is no threat to their life and liberty.

In view of the contradictory versions having been brought before this Court, I do not deem it appropriate to enter into the disputed question of facts. Believing petitioner No. 1, who is present in the Court, this petition has rendered infructuous as petitioner No. 1 does not seem to have any threat in her individual capacity from the private respondents as on today. She has attended the Court along with private respondents who have been identified by their counsel.

The petition is disposed of. Interim order earlier passed is modified, without expression of any opinion regarding the relationship of petitioners, to the effect that unless and until a contrary statement is

given by petitioner No. 1, the petitioners will not be forcibly taken in custody by any of the respondents on any allegation of criminality.

(M.M.S. BEDI)  
JUDGE

15.12.2016  
*Neha*

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable:        | Yes/No |