

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-38303 of 2016

.....

Date of decision:3.12.2016

Paramjit Singh and another

.....Petitioners

v.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

....

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Inderjit Singh

.....

Present: Mr. Arti Kataria, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. D.S. Virk, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Advocate for complainant-respondents
No.2.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for quashing of FIR No.106 dated 8.5.2016 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 452, 427, 506, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar Rural and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure-P.2).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Harjit Singh on the allegations that the accused-petitioners armed with Kirpans and Datars forcibly trespassed into their house while raising 'Lalkaras' and started extending threats of criminal intimidation to

[2]

complainant's nephew Sahilpreet Singh. Due to fear they entered inside the room and bolted the room from inside. Thereafter, complainant's brother Baljinder Singh under pressure on account of commission of attack by the above mentioned persons had consumed some pesticide lying in the house. Thereafter on raising of hue and cry by the complainant's family, the assailants fled away from the spot along with their respective weapons. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before learned trial Court for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. After doing the needful, learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar has sent his report dated 7.11.2016 submitting that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab and learned counsel for

complainant-respondent No.2 and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Therefore, keeping in view the fact that the matter has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Cr.) 543, this petition is allowed and FIR No.106 dated 8.5.2016 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 452, 427, 506, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar Rural and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

December 3, 2016.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp

NOTE:	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
	Whether reportable:	No