

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision : May 16, 2016

1. CRM No. M-37376 of 2015

Amolak Singh and others

...Petitioners...

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents...

2. CRM No.M-37496 of 2015

Kuldeep Singh and others

...Petitioners...

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-37376-2015 and
for respondent No.2 in CRM-M-37496-2015.

Mr. Arjinder Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh Saini, Advocate
for respondent No.2 in CRM-M-37376-2015 and
for the petitioners in CRM-M-37496-2015.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

JASPAL SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of aforesaid two petitions i.e
CRM No. M-37376 of 2015, captioned as “**Amolak Singh and
others vs. State of Punjab and another**” and CRM No. M-37496 of

2015, captioned as ***“Kuldeep Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another”***.

2. CRM No. M-37376 of 2015 has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No. 153 dated 17.10.2006, under Sections 364, 323, 324, 342, 148 and 149 IPC, Police Station Majitha, District Amritsar and all the subsequent proceedings arisen therefrom, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-3). But during the pendency of instant petition, Davinder Singh-petitioner No.3 has been reported to have expired. As such, proceedings qua him stood abated.

3. CRM No. M-37496 of 2015, has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No. 153 dated 17.10.2006, under Section 379 IPC, Police Station Majitha, District Amritsar and all the subsequent proceedings arisen therefrom, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-3)..

4. Reports of learned trial Court have been received, in which, it has been categorically observed that the compromise is genuine, voluntary without any threat or coercion. Even otherwise, matter involved is personal in nature, which stood amicably settled.

5. So, in view of above circumstances, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

6. Consequently, both petitions are allowed and FIR No. 153

dated 17.10.2006, registered at Police Station Majitha, District Amritsar, as well as all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioner(s).

May 16, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE