

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT

CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3737-2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 11, 2016

Jarnail Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. P.S. Bhinder, Advocate,
for Mr. Naresh Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Paul, DAG, Punjab,
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Naresh Kumar Manchanda, Advocate,
for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J. (Oral)

Learned proxy counsel for the petitioner states at the bar that he has instructions to withdraw the present petition in view of the compromise between the private parties.

Learned counsel representing respondent Nos. 2 and 3 has not denied the factum of the compromise stated to have been effected between private parties.

Learned counsel for the State has no objection to the prayer for withdrawal of the present petition.

Ordered accordingly.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

February 11, 2016
P Kapoor