

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38213 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.11.2016

Sachin

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 384 dated 03.08.2016, registered under Sections 379-B, 216, 201 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station Sadar Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar.

It is contended that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and he has been falsely implicated in the instant case. The investigation has been completed. The petitioner is in custody since 03.08.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused

the record.

Considering the fact that the challan stands presented; charges have been framed and the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

03.11.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No