

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.37310 of 2015**  
Date of decision: 24<sup>th</sup> May, 2016

Mahabir Parsad

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana  
for respondent No.1.

Mr. R.S. Hooda, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

**FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

Report dated 15.02.2016 of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sohna, District Gurgaon has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Ramjeet and the accused namely Mahabir Parsad, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being a pure property dispute, the fact that the offences for which the accused has been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that

compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.114 dated 26.03.2010 registered at Police Station Sohna, District Gurgaon under Sections 420/467/468/471/120B/34 IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioner are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

**(FATEH DEEP SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**May 24, 2016**  
*rps*