

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRL. MISC. No.M-37198 OF 2015
DATE OF DECISION : 14th DECEMEBR, 2016**

Vijay Kumar & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. P. S. Sullar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. K. Arun Singh, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 6.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.162 dated 26.09.2011 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 427, 452 & 506 IPC at Police Station Sadar Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar in view of the compromise (Annexure P-2).

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for respondents/complainants submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order dated 06.10.2016 asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court. Perusal of the report shows that the compromise is genuine and without any pressure. I have seen the offences registered against the petitioners. Looking to the nature of the offence I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-37198 OF 2015 is allowed.
- (ii) FIR No.162 dated 26.09.2011 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 427, 452 & 506 IPC at Police Station Sadar Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar (Annexure P-1) is quashed qua the petitioners along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

14TH DECEMBER, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE