

214.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38069-2016

Date of decision:11.11.2016

Sujesh alias Monu

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.76 dated 03.08.2016 under Sections 420, 272, 467, 468, 471, 120B IPC and Section 61 of Excise Act, registered at Police Station Panjokhra, District Ambala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner is not named in the FIR and no role has been attributed to him. He is working as Accountant with one Parth Sharma, who was already granted interim bail vide order dated 24.09.2016 passed in CRM-M-34103-2016 titled as Parth Sharma Versus State of Haryana.

On the other hand, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Rakesh Kumar submits that the petitioner being an Accountant to the main accused was instrumental and was involved in a case while preparing adulterated liquor and sale of noxious drinks.

Heard, learned counsel for the parties.

It is not disputed that the petitioner is an Accountant and working with one Parth Sharma and, therefore, drawing a particular amount of salary. Even otherwise the offence in the case is triable by Magistrate. Petitioner is in custody since 07.08.2016. It is informed by the State counsel that challan has already been present in the case. Therefore, the trial in the case will take sufficient long time.

Accordingly, the present petition stands allowed and the petitioner is admitted on bail subject to furnishing of bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Ambala.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

11.11.2016
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No