

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-37157 of 2015

Date of decision: February 24, 2016.

Gurdial Singh @ Gerry and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Ms. Rishima Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Ms. Swati Verma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

The petition is for quashing of FIR No.21 dated 17.3.2012, registered under Sections 452, 323, 324, 325, 355, 506, 148, 149 IPC, at Police Station Bhogpur, District Jalandhar, along with all consequential proceedings.

Report of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Jalandhar received. Learned Magistrate has recorded the statements of the parties and has reported that the parties have effected compromise with their free will and without any threat or pressure. Statements of the parties have also been enclosed with the report.

In view of the fact that the parties have compromised, FIR No.21 dated 17.3.2012, registered under Sections 452, 323, 324, 325, 355, 506, 148, 149 IPC, at Police Station Bhogpur, District Jalandhar, along with all consequential proceedings stands quashed.

The petition is accordingly allowed.

February 24, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge