

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38042-2016

Date of Decision : 07.11.2016

Sonu @ Santri

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, I do not find any ground to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner, but taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner has been in custody w.e.f. 25.03.2014 and 14 witnesses out of total 32 witnesses having been examined, I deem it appropriate to issue a direction to the trial Court to conclude the trial within a period of six months after the next date of hearing. In case all the prosecution witnesses are not examined and the trial is not concluded within a period of six months after the next date of hearing, it will be open to the petitioner to approach this Court again for the grant of regular bail.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

November 07, 2016

apurva

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No