

240

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37089 of 2015

Date of decision: September 26, 2016

Gurmail Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Vikas Thakur, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Mr. N.S. Chahal, Advocate
for respondents No.5 and 6.

Mr. Anil Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

The prayer in the present petition is for direction to the
higher police officers to look into the representation dated 15.06.2015
made by the petitioner in respect of the alleged offence.

Learned State counsel has placed on record the reply by
way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division
Bhucho Mandi, District Bathinda and with his assistance, I have gone
through the same.

In my opinion, the above said reply by way of affidavit shows that the investigation was made and the police has come to the conclusion that the subject matter is nothing but a civil dispute. That may be the view of the concerned police officer. However, I find that the prayer made by the petitioner stands complied with as the investigation has been completed. In that view of the matter, prayer cannot be granted since the investigation has been completed.

In view of the fact that the police has come to the conclusion about in the investigation as above, this petition is disposed of, reserving liberty in favour of the petitioner to take such steps as available in law including filing of private criminal complaint.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

September 26, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No