

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37087-2015

Date of Decision: January 6, 2016

Sukhwinder Singh @ Bittu and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr.Munish Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.R.S.Randhawa, Addl.A.G.Punjab.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Raj Kumar, Police Station, Sohana, District SAS Nagar (Mohali) submits that in view of the compromise, so effected and the statements suffered by the aggrieved person, the Investigating Agency is proposing to submit the final/ untraced report after obtaining the necessary sanction from the competent authority.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the

petitioners does not press this petition at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

January 6, 2016
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE