

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-37072 of 2015
Date of decision:- May 02, 2016

Ramzan @ Jaan and others

...Petitioners...

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. Ghulam Nabi Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Lovinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Mohammad Imran, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

JASPAL SINGH, J.

This is a petition preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, seeking quashing of FIR No.57, dated 12.05.2006, under Sections 324, 323, 148 and 149 IPC and 326 IPC (added later on), Police Station Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising thereof, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-3).

2. Report of learned Judicial Magistrate has been received, in which, it has been categorically observed that parties have

entered into compromise voluntarily, without any pressure or coercion. Even otherwise, the matter involved is personal in nature, which has been amicably put at rest.

3. So, in view of above circumstances and in view of the pronouncement captioned as **Kulwinder Singh & others v. State of Punjab & others; 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

4. Consequently, the instant petition stands allowed and FIR No.57, dated 12.05.2006, under Sections 324, 323, 148 and 149 IPC and 326 IPC (added later on), Police Station Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners.

May 02, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE