

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-37917 of 2016
Date of Decision: 10.11.2016

Parveen Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. Jainainder Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Garg, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.143 dated 22.2.2015 under Sections 419/420/467/468 IPC and Sections 471/120-B IPC (added later on) registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there is delay in lodging the FIR and the name of the petitioner does not find mentioned in the same. He further states that no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner. In fact, Kishore Kumar is the real beneficiary in the transaction, as he is the person who purchased the plot from Naresh Goyal.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has submitted that the

petitioner namely Parveen Singh had represented himself to be the original seller of the land in dispute, namely Naresh Kumar and therefore, the petitioner is actively involved in the case.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

From the facts of the case it transpires that the sale deed has been brought into existence in a planned manner, whereby the petitioner has represented himself to be original owner of the plot in question namely Naresh Kumar and he has put his signatures as seller. It shows the complete implicity of the petitioner in the matter.

Therefore, no ground is made out for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

November 10, 2016
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No