

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.36998 of 2015

Date of Decision:-17.05.2016

Triveni & Anr.

.....**Petitioners.**

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....**Respondent.**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vikas Lochab, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
assisted by ASI Sajid.

JASWANT SINGH, J (ORAL)

Prayer is under Section 438 Cr.PC for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, namely, Triveni and Deepak Panwar in case FIR No.169 dated 5.4.2015 for offences punishable under Sections 279, 337 of Indian Penal Code (Sections 323, 325, 307, 120-B and 34 IPC added later on) registered with Police Station Central, Faridabad.

As per the allegations of the prosecution on 2.4.2015 at around 4.30 pm an Alto Car bearing no. HR-29N 3248 being driven by accused Deepak Panwar (petitioner no.2) at a very high speed tried to kill Meenakshi by running over her. The said Meenakshi remained unconscious for many days and also remained in hospital till 18.4.2015. Initially the FIR was lodged by Triveni (petitioner no.1-daughter of Meenakshi) that the said car

driven by unknown person had caused accident, however, on the statement of the Meenakshi to the extent that it is her daughter Triveni and her paramour Deepak Panwar (Petitioner no.2) in order to grab her property had tried to kill her , the aforesaid offence were added.

It is contended that both the petitioners were on anticipatory bail and as such nothing more is to be recovered and that petitioners have joined investigation.

Learned State Counsel assisted by ASI Sajid submits that petitioner no.1-Triveni has joined investigation and as such nothing is to be recovered from her. It is further submitted that the car used by Deepak Panwar (petitioner no.2) has been found to be stolen and has been recovered. He however, submits that keeping in view the serious nature of the injuries i.e. fracture of ribs, left leg etc., no case for grant of anticipatory bail is made out atleast qua petitioner no.2-Deepak Panwar.

In view of the above, interim protection/pre-arrest bail granted to the petitioner no.1-Triveni vide order dated 30th of October, 2015 is made absolute whereas anticipatory bail qua petitioner no.2-Deepak Panwar stands dismissed.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

May 17, 2016
Vinay