

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37843-2016

Date of decision: 21.10.2016

Poonam Rani and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Mr.SK Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners

SNEH PRASHAR, J.

The petitioners have approached this Court for directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty, which is stated to be in danger at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7, family members of petitioner No.1.

Mr.DK Bartia, Advocate has appeared and filed his power of attorney on behalf of respondent No.4. He has opposed the present petition.

As per the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, although petitioner No.1 -Poonam Rani is aged more than 18 years, her date of birth being 11.2.1998, but petitioner No.2 Goldy has not so far attained the age of marriage, his date of birth being 17.9.1996, as mentioned in Annexure P-2, Aadhaar card. It is submitted that the petitioners had given a representation (Annexure

P-4) dated 19.10.2016 to the Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra for protection of their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the allegations made, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2, Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra to look into the representation Annexure P-4, if any, given by the petitioner.

21.10.2016
gsv

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No