

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-36943 OF 2015
DATE OF DECISION : 11th MARCH, 2016

Sunit Mehrotra & Others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & another

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

* * * *

Present : Mr. Anupam Bhanot, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Gitanjali Chabra, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

* * * *

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.292 dated 11.07.2014 registered under Sections 498-A, 406, 506 & 232 IPC read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station Badshahpur, District Gurgaon and the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of written compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from learned JMIC, Gurgaon after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise. Learned JMIC has reported that the compromise is voluntary and without any pressure or coercion. Learned JMIC has also sent copies of the statements of the parties and compromise as well.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that petitioners are the only accused and respondent no.2 is the only aggrieved person in this FIR.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed qua the petitioners.

Needless to say that the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

11th March, 2016
'raj'

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE