

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-36920 of 2015
Date of Decision: 07.01.2016**

Guddi

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.
is for issuance of directions to respondents no.2 and 3 to take
action against respondent no.4 to 8 on the allegation that they are
demanding illegal gratification and are extending threats to
implicate the petitioner and her family in false case.

In response to the notice of motion having been
issued, learned State counsel has filed reply by way of affidavit of
Jagdeep Singh, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Ambala,

on behalf of respondents no.1 to 8, which is taken on record. In para no.2 of the reply, it is stated that the petitioner Guddy along with Madhu wife of Suraj used to keep the stolen property in their possession for its disposal which the members of their gang used to bring after committing heinous offences like theft, robbery and extortion. Petitioner Guddy was found involved in FIR no.336 dated 27.10.2014 under Sections 454, 380, 411, 201 IPC, Police Station Mahesh Nagar whereas Madhu was found involved in FIR no.365 dated 21.11.2014 under Sections 454, 380, 411 IPC, Police Station Mahesh Nagar. As per the list (Annexure R-1) annexed along with the reply, five cases have been registered against Rajesh, husband of the petitioner, four cases have been registered against Prince who is son of the petitioner (Annexure R-2), seven cases have been registered against Gaurav @ Golu, who is son of the sister-in-law (nanad) of the petitioner (Annexure R-3) and ten cases have been registered against Goldy, who is son of the brother-in-law (Jeth) of the petitioner (Annexure R-4).

In this manner, filing of the present petition is nothing but a total misuse of the process of law.

In view of the aforesaid, the present petition is dismissed.

January 07, 2016
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE