

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37813 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 15.11.2016

Anil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Mohit Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.82 dated 04.06.2016, registered under Sections 147, 148, 186, 332, 341, 353, 506 and Section 379-A of IPC, at Police Station Loharu, District Bhiwani.

It is contended that the petitioner is an Ex-sarpanch of the village who has been falsely implicated in the instant case on account of personal grudge as he raised voice against selling of illicit liquor by the liquor contractors. The petitioner is in custody since 05.07.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the challan stands presented; out of total 17 PWs, only 02 PWs have been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

15.11.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No