

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

CRM No.M-36897 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.01.2016

Lovedeep Singh @ Lovepreet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Wadhawan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Anmol Grewal, AAG, Punjab.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J.

Petitioner seeks concession of pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.363 dated 24.09.2014, under Sections 323/324/148/149/506 IPC and Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station A Division, District Amritsar.

FIR was registered on the statement of Gurinderdeep Singh. Occurrence is stated to be of 24.09.2014, wherein an altercation took place between college going students. Apart from the complainant, Simranpreet Singh Chouhan also suffered injuries.

It would be apposite to notice that a compromise/settlement had been arrived at between the parties and consequently even a quashing petition was filed before this Court but the same was ultimately not entertained as a serious offence attracting Section 307 IPC was cited. After withdrawal of the quashing petition, petitioner has filed the instant petition seeking concession of anticipatory bail.

During the course of arguments today, it has gone uncontroverted that the injuries attributed to the present petitioner are on the

person of Gurinderdeep Singh, injured/complainant and which have been opined to be simple in nature. It is also the conceded position that injury upon the person of Simarnpreet Singh and attributed to co-accused Jobanjeet Singh was declared dangerous to life and who has been granted anticipatory bail by a coordinate Bench of this Court in terms of order dated 27.07.2015 passed in CRM No.M-37992 of 2014 (Annexure P-6).

Under such circumstances, petitioner is held entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

Petitioner is directed to join investigation.

Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to the following conditions as enumerated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.:-

1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

Disposed of.

29.01.2016

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**