

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Criminal Revision 92 of 2008
Date of Decision : November 17, 2016

Rani SainiPetitioner
VERSUS
Rajinder Kumar and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.P.S. MANN

Present : None for the petitioner.

None for respondent No. 3.

Mr. Ashok S. Chaudhary, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for respondent No.4-State.

T.P.S. MANN, J. (Oral)

None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. The position was the same on the last two dates of hearing, i.e. 29.9.2016 and 29.7.2016. On 29.9.2016, it was also made clear that in case there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner on the adjourned date, the revision would be dismissed for want of prosecution.

As none has put in appearance for the petitioner, the revision is, hereby, dismissed for want of prosecution.

November 17, 2016
amit rana

**(T.P.S. MANN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No