

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-36599 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.05.2016

Simarjit Singh

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. I.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S. Virk, A.A.G., Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

This order shall dispose of the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C praying for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No.42 dated 8.4.2015 under sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 I.P.C, registered at Police Station, City Kotkapura, District Faridkot.

On 12.1.2016, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in a nutshell the allegations are that the petitioner had forged a letter dated 3.3.2014 which in turn facilitated his appointment as a Salesman in the Kotkapura Cooperative Marketing & Processing Society. It is contended that in pursuance to such alleged forged appointment letter, the petitioner had not been permitted to join service and as such he had not gained the employment. It is also argued that all the documents in any case are in the possession of the department and the petitioner, otherwise, is ready and willing to join investigation.

List on 3.3.2016.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to join investigation and to appear before the I.O on 22.1.2016.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be

CRM No. M-36599 of 2015 (O&M) -2-

released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and he shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel upon instructions from H.C. Tej Singh would apprise the Court that the petitioner has since joined investigation on 8.3.2016 and there is no recovery which is to be effected from him.

In the considered view of this Court, custodial interrogation of the petitioner, as such, would not be warranted. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 12.1.2016, passed by this Court, is made absolute.

Petition disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

16.05.2016
lucky