

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-No.36598 of 2015(O&M)

Decided on:-17.03.2016.

Ved Parkash

.....Petitioner

Versus

U.T. of Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr.Gautam Kaile, Advocate for
Mr. Rajiv Sharma, APP, UT. Chandigarh,
for respondent No.1.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Learned APP appearing for respondent No.1-U.T. Chandigarh, submits that since the petitioner has been acquitted in the case, present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

March 17, 2016
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE