

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-36575 of 2015
Date of Decision: 10.02.2016**

Karora Singh Dhaliwal & others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Station House Officer & another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Sumeet Jain, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Gill, APP, UT, Chandigarh.

Mr. Rakesh Mohan Dutta, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Karora Singh Dhaliwal and others have filed the present petition under Section 482 CrPC seeking directions to respondent no.1 not to call, humiliate and harass the petitioners by repeatedly visiting their residence and by summoning them to

the police station, without there being any offence committed by them.

On notice of motion having been issued, status report by way of affidavit of SHO Narinder Patyal, Police Station, Sector 11, Chandigarh has been filed in Court which is taken on record.

Status report suggests that the complaint dated 4.8.2015 made by respondent no.2-Prem Singh was received in the police station against the petitioners, wherein it was alleged that the complainant-respondent no.2 owned some ancestral property being agricultural land within the red line of Village Khuda Alisher, Chandigarh, however, the petitioners are not allowing him to cultivate or construct a house on the said land.

On the said complaint, an enquiry was marked to SI Surinder Gautam, wherein statements of the complainant-respondent no.2 Prem Singh as well as petitioner no.2 – Avtar Singh and others were recorded. As per the statements so recorded, it came to light that the dispute between the parties was that of property situated at Village Khuda Alisher and respondent no.2 and petitioner no.2 are relatives. Civil litigation is also pending between them in District Court, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of UT, Chandigarh, on instructions from SI Surinder Gautam, submits that the petitioners are neither being called in the police station nor harassed and they are not required to be summoned in this complaint.

In view of the categorical assertion made by learned State counsel as well as the reply filed, no order is called for in this petition.

Accordingly, the petition is disposed of.

February 10, 2016
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE