

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: December 23, 2016

1. CRM-M-37447-2016

Ravi Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-37545-2016 (O & M)

Pawan Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Mukul Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-37447-2016.

Mr. Raghav Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-37545-2016.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

**CRM-40159-2016 in
CRM-M-37545-2016**

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

**CRM-M-37447-2016 and
CRM-M-37545-2016**

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-37447-2016, filed by

Kumar, under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.845 dated 01.07.2016, registered at Police Station City Panipat, under Section 379-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in both the cases. Learned State counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and complainant also appeared through his counsel. They contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per the prosecution version, when the complainant hired an auto-rickshaw, three boys were already sitting therein. They gave beatings to the complainant and took away purse of the complainant which was containing Rs.1,790, one *adhar card* etc. Out of nine, seven witnesses have been examined by the prosecution.

The petitioners have been in custody since 02.07.2016. They are not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as they are in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioners in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, both these miscellaneous petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each with one

surety in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty
Magistrate.

December 23, 2016
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No