

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 37446 of 2016  
Date of decision: 14.12.2016**

**Diwan Chand & Another**

**...Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab & Another**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR**

Present:- Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Mikhail Kad, AAG, Punjab  
for respondent No. 1-State.

Mr. M. K. Bhatnagar, Advocate  
for respondent No. 2.

**JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)**

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 32 dated 23.06.2015, under Sections 354-D and 509 of the IPC and cross case bearing DDR No. 19 of the even date, under Sections 355, 323, 506 and 34 of the IPC, registered at Police Station S.G.N. Dev Thermal Plant, Bathinda and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 29.08.2015 and 31.08.2015 entered into between the parties.

It is submitted that now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate for

getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate First Class at Bathinda, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same appears to be genuine one. It is also reported that no PO proceeding or criminal case is pending against either of the parties.

Learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No. 2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, they would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties and gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Cr.) 543*, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 32 dated

23.06.2015, under Sections 354-D and 509 of the IPC and cross case bearing DDR No. 19 of the even date, under Sections 355, 323, 506 and 34 of the IPC, registered at Police Station S.G.N. Dev Thermal Plant, Bathinda and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua both the parties.

The petition stands disposed of.

**14.12.2016**  
**Ansari**

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)**  
**JUDGE**

|                                  |            |
|----------------------------------|------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>No</i>  |