

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.36521 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.01.2016**

Inderjit Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Mansur Ali, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State assisted by ASI Manjit Singh.

Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Anand, Advocate for the complainant.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, namely, Inderjit Singh, Loveroop Singh and Daljit Singh, who have been booked for having committed the offences punishable under Sections 457, 380, 447, 511, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, in a case arising out of FIR No.99 dated 30.09.2015, registered at Police Station Subhanpur, District Kapurthala (**Annexure P-6**).

The allegations are that the shop under the tenancy of husband-Kewal Krishan of the complainant-Babita Rani was demolished by the land-mafia comprising three petitioners.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that pursuant to the interim directions passed by this Court, vide order dated 02.11.2015, petitioners have joined the investigation and their custodial interrogation is no longer required. That apart, he submits that the petitioners and the complainant have since amicably settled their dispute, vide a written compromise deed dated 05.01.2016.

Learned Counsel for the complainant acknowledges the same, however, prays for binding down the parties to their respective stand of the said compromise deed.

Learned State Counsel assisted by ASI Manjit Singh, has also been heard at length.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of and the interim protection granted by this Court, vide order dated 02.11.2015 is made absolute.

The parties, however, shall be bound by their respective stand and in case of any violation of the terms and conditions of the said compromise deed, the complainant could seek the cancellation of the anticipatory bail.

Disposed of.

January 14, 2016
Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE