

CRM-M-37370-2016

Date of Decision : 25.10.2016

Sandeep

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. Pawan Kumar Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

Petitioner has been in custody with effect from 17.07.2016 for having received a sum of Rs. 50/- along with his co-accused from the complainant, Ravi Dutt, to allow him to enter the barricades with his pick up vehicle, loaded with mangoes.

Learned counsel for the complainant has intervened to oppose the application for regular bail contending that the act of the petitioner is captured in the video clip.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner, counsel for the complainant and learned State counsel. The challan has already been presented. The petitioner has been in custody with effect from 17.07.2016. The trial is likely to take a long time. No useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody. Chances of tampering with the evidence are remote as the complainant is consistent in his claim that the petitioner is responsible for having received money from him, being driver of the vehicle.

The petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released

on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court subject to the condition that in case, the petitioner make any attempt to, directly or indirectly, threaten the complainant or any other witness, it will be open to the complainant or the prosecution agency to approach this Court for cancellation of bail.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

25.10.2016
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No