

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -37325 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15.12.2016

Jagdev Singh @ Jagga

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Pardeep Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. A.S. Cheema, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab
assisted by HC Kewal Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.69 dated 20.09.2016, registered under Sections 307, 506 of IPC and Section 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Sadar Rampura, District Bathinda.

On 20.10.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is a case of no injury. The parties are engaged in a civil litigation with regard to piece of land. The occurrence took place on 18.09.2016 and the present FIR was registered on 20.09.2016. The delay of two days in lodging the FIR is not explained.

Notice of motion for 15.12.2016.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by

the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”*

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 20.10.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation. The recovery of revolver stands effected.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 20.10.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

15.12.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No