

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Criminal Misc. No.M-39187 of 2013

Date of Decision: 11.03.2016

Mann Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

Sukhjinder Singh ...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARIPAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- None.

HARIPAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Nobody is present on behalf of the petitioner.

Even previous orders show that nobody has put in appearance on 27.01.2015, 30.04.2015, 17.08.2015 and 08.12.2015. Perusal of judgment dated 10.12.2015 passed in **COMI/0006414/2013** titled as **Sukhjinder Singh Vs. Amrik Singh & ors.**, taken from the official website of Sessions Court, Kapurthala, shows that the complaint filed by the respondent-Sukhjinder Singh was dismissed. This may be probably the reason for non-appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

March 11, 2016

Vinay

(HARI PAL VERMA)

JUDGE